**Proposition 4.5:** For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, k! \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \ge 0$ . When  $k = 0, k! = 0! = 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . This establishes the base case. Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$  that  $k! \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $k \ge 0$ ,  $k + 1 \ge 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $k! \in \mathbb{N}$  as well,

$$(k+1)! = k!(k+1) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proposition 4.7 (i):** For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $5^{2k} - 1$  is divisible by 24.

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that when k = 0,  $5^{2k} - 1 = 5^0 - 1 = 0$ . Since  $0 \mid 0$ , the base case is established.

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $24 \mid 5^{2k} - 1$ . Hence there exists an integer *j* such that  $5^{2k} - 1 = 24j$ . Then

$$5^{2(k+1)} - 1 = 5^{2k}5^2 - 1$$
  
=  $(5^{2k} - 1)5^2 + 5^2 - 1$   
=  $24j \cdot 25 + 24$   
=  $24(25j + 1)$ .

Hence  $24 \mid 5^{2(k+1)} - 1$ .

**Proposition 4.6(iii):** Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m, k \ge 0$ . Then  $(b^m)^k = b^{mk}$ .

*Proof*. Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We will prove that  $(b^m)^k = b^{mk}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by induction. When k = 0,

$$(b^m)^k = (b^m)^0 = 1 = b^0 = b^{m0} = b^{mk}$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  that  $(b^m)^k = b^{mk}$ . Then

 $(b^{m})^{k+1} = (b^{m})^{k}(b^{m})$ =  $b^{mk}b^{m}$  (by the induction hypothesis) =  $b^{mk+m}$  (by Proposition 4.6(ii)) =  $b^{m(k+1)}$ .

**Proposition 4.11:** For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{k} j = k(k+1).$$

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . When k = 1,

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{k} j = 2\sum_{j=1}^{1} j = 2 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot (1+1) = k \cdot (k+1).$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{k} j = k \cdot (k+1).$$

Then

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j = 2\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} j + (k+1)\right]$$
  
=  $2\sum_{j=1}^{k} j + 2(k+1)$   
=  $k(k+1) + 2(k+1)$  (by the induction hypothesis)  
=  $(k+1)(k+2)$   
=  $(k+1)((k+1)+1)$ .

**Proposition 4.A:** Suppose a and b are integers such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \mid b$ . Then there exists a unique integer j such that b = aj.

*Proof*. Suppose *a* and *b* are integers such that  $a \mid b$ . Then there exists  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = aj. Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  that b = ak. Then

aj = ak

and, since  $a \neq 0$ , Axiom 2.1 implies that k = j. Thus there is a unique integer j such that b = aj.

**Proposition 4.8:** For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $4^k > k$ .

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . When k = 1,

$$4^k = 4^1 = 4 > 1 = k.$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $4^k > 1$ . Then

 $4^{k+1} = 4^{k}4$ >  $k \cdot 4$  (by the induction hypothesis and Proposition 4.D) = k + 3k $\geq k + 1$ 

since  $3k \ge 3 \cdot 1 = 3 > 1$ . Hence  $4^{k+1} \ge k + 1$  as required.

**Proposition 4.13:** For 
$$x \neq 1$$
 and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} = \frac{1 - x^{k+1}}{1 - x}$ 

Hint: Show that  $(1 - x) \sum_{j=0}^{k} x^j = 1 - x^{k+1}$ .

*Proof*. Since  $x \neq 1$ , the statement

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} = \frac{1 - x^{k+1}}{1 - x}$$

is equivalent to

$$(1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} = 1 - x^{k+1}.$$

We will show that the latter statement holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by induction. When k = 0,

$$(1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} = (1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{0} x^{j} = (1-x)x^{0} = 1-x = 1-x^{1} = 1-x^{k+1}.$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  that

$$(1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} = 1 - x^{k+1}.$$

Then

$$(1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} x^{j} = (1-x)\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} + (1-x)x^{k+1}$$
  
= 1 - x<sup>k+1</sup> + (1 - x)x<sup>k+1</sup> (by the induction hypothesis)  
= 1 - x<sup>k+2</sup>  
= 1 - x<sup>(k+1)+1</sup>.

**Proposition 4.15(i):** Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^k m x_j = m \sum_{j=1}^k x_j.$$

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . When k = 1,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} mx_j = \sum_{j=1}^{1} mx_j = mx_1 = m \sum_{j=1}^{1} x_j = m \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j.$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k m x_j = m \sum_{j=1}^k x_j.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} mx_j = \sum_{j=1}^k mx_j + mx_{k+1}$$
$$= m \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + mx_{k+1}$$
$$= m \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + x_{k+1} \right]$$
$$= m \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j.$$

(by the induction hypothesis)

**Proposition 4.15(iii):** Let  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $x_j = n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j = kn.$$

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . When k = 1,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{1} x_j = x_1 = n = 1 \cdot n = kn.$$

Suppose for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j = kn$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + x_{k+1}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + x_{k+1}$$
  
= 
$$kn + x_{k+1}$$
 (by the induction hypothesis)  
= 
$$kn + n$$
  
= 
$$(k+1)n.$$

**Proposition 4.16(ii):** Let  $(x_j)_{j=m}^{\infty}$  and  $(y_j)_{j=m}^{\infty}$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m \le a \le b$ ,

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b} (x_j + y_j) = \sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j.$$

*Proof*. Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq m}$ . We will show that

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b} (x_j + y_j) = \sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j.$$

for all  $b \ge a$  by induction. When b = a,

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b} (x_j + y_j) = \sum_{j=a}^{a} (x_j + y_j) = x_a + y_a = \sum_{j=a}^{a} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{a} y_j = \sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j.$$

Suppose for some  $b \ge a$  that

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b} (x_j + y_j) = \sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b+1} (x_j + y_j) = \sum_{j=a}^{b} (x_j + y_j) + x_{b+1} + y_{b+1}$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j + x_{b+1} + y_{b+1}$  (by the induction hypothesis)  
=  $\sum_{j=a}^{b} x_j + x_{b+1} \sum_{j=a}^{b} y_j + y_{b+1}$   
=  $\sum_{j=a}^{b+1} x_j + \sum_{j=a}^{b+1} y_j$ .

**Proposition 4.18:** Let  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_j \leq y_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \le \sum_{j=1}^k y_j.$$

*Proof*. We proceed by induction on  $k \ge 1$ . When k = 1,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{1} x_j = x_1 \le y_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{1} y_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j.$$

Suppose for some  $k \ge 1$  that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \le \sum_{j=1}^k y_j.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + x_{k+1}$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + x_{k+1}$$
  
$$\leq sum_{j=1}^k y_j + y_{k+1}$$
  
$$= sum_{j=1}^{k+1} y_j.$$

(by the induction hypothesis)