

Scheme: Evaluation

CS 331 Programming Languages

Lecture Slides

Monday, March 23, 2026

Glenn G. Chappell

Department of Computer Science

University of Alaska Fairbanks

`ggchappell@alaska.edu`

© 2017–2026 Glenn G. Chappell

Unit Overview

The Scheme Programming Language

Topics

- ✓ ■ PL feature: identifiers & values
- ✓ ■ PL feature: reflection
- ✓ ■ PL category: Lisp-family PLs
- ✓ ■ Introduction to Scheme
- ✓ ■ Scheme: basics
 - Scheme: evaluation
 - Scheme: data
 - Scheme: macros I
 - Scheme: macros II

Review

Scheme is a Lisp-family PL with a minimalist design philosophy.

Scheme code consists of parenthesized lists, which may contain atoms or other lists. List items are separated by space; blanks and newlines between list items are treated the same.

```
(define (print-sum-2-7)
  (display (+ 2 7))
)
```

Normal evaluation rule for a list: attempt to evaluate each list item, then attempt to call the result from the first item, as a **procedure**, with the results from the others as its arguments. For example, `display` and `+` (above) evaluate to procedures. Things that break this rule, like `define` (above), are **macros**.

Recall: a **predicate** is a function returning a Boolean. Names of Scheme predicates often end in a question mark.

Type-checking predicates take one argument—of any type.

- `number?` Returns true (`#t`) if given a number, otherwise false (`#f`).
- `null?` Returns true if its argument is null (an empty list).
- `pair?` Returns true if its argument is a pair. Thus, if the argument is a list, then it returns true if the list is nonempty. If neither `null?` nor `pair?` returns true for a value, then the value is an atom.
- `list?` Checks if argument is a list. **Linear-time.**

```
> (pair? '(1 2 3))
```

```
#t
```

```
> (pair? +)
```

```
#f
```

list? is not actually a type-checking predicate, since *list* is not a type or collection of types.

A single quote suppresses evaluation.

Scheme: Evaluation

Scheme: Evaluation Expressions [1/3]

Normal evaluation rule for a list (again): attempt to evaluate each list item, then attempt to call the result from the first item, as a procedure, with the results from the others as its arguments.

> (+ (- 4 1) (* 2 3))
9

+ is a symbol that evaluates to a procedure.

Using the same evaluation rule, these evaluate to 3 and 6, respectively.

When the first item of a list is a macro, the arguments are passed to it unevaluated.

> (define abc 42)

define is a macro.

define sees the symbol abc, not its value—which may not exist.

*For code from this topic,
see eval.scm.*

Scheme: Evaluation Expressions [2/3]

`quote` is a macro that takes one argument. It returns the argument without evaluating it.

```
> (quote (1 2 3))  
(1 2 3)
```

The leading-single-quote syntax is actually shorthand for `quote`.

```
> '(1 2 3) ; Same as (quote (1 2 3))  
(1 2 3)
```

Informally speaking, evaluation removes the quote.

```
'(1 2 3)  (1 2 3)  
          evaluation
```

Scheme: Evaluation Expressions [3/3]

`eval` is a procedure that takes one argument and evaluates it.

Being a *procedure*, `eval` does not suppress normal argument evaluation. So evaluation actually happens twice: the argument is evaluated, and then it evaluates the result.

```
> (eval '(+ 2 3))
```

```
5
```

← The first evaluation gets rid of the quote. The second calls `+` with 2 and 3 to get 5.

A variation is the procedure `apply`. This takes a procedure and a list of arguments. It calls the procedure with the given arguments and returns the result.

```
> (apply + '(2 3))
```

```
5
```

Scheme: Evaluation Closures

When evaluation of an expression leads to a call to a Scheme procedure, the call is made in an environment that includes variables in the environment the procedure was defined in. In short, a Scheme procedure is a closure. The things we have done with closures in other PLs work just fine in Scheme.

TO DO

- Write some code that uses a closure.

Done. See eval.scm.

Scheme: Evaluation

Local Variables [1/4]

We can create and set local variables, while still programming in a functional style, using `let`. This takes two arguments:

- A list of 2-item lists, each with a symbol and its desired value.
- An expression.

The second argument (the expression) is evaluated with each symbol locally set to its desired value. The result is returned.

```
(let  
  (  
    [a (+ 3 7)]  
    [b 8]  
  )  
  (* a b)  
)
```

Parentheses can be replaced with brackets, as long as they match.

Variables `a` & `b` are local to the `let`. The values set here are not accessible outside.

With `a` set to 10 and `b` set to 8, this evaluates to 80, which becomes the value of the `let`.

Scheme's `let` is similar to Haskell's `let ... in`.
Scheme has no `where`, but one could write it.

Scheme: Evaluation

Local Variables [2/4]

`let` defines all its local variables at the same time. So we cannot use one of these local variables in the definition of another.

If this is a problem, then use `let*`, which works just like `let`, but defines its local variables in order, one after the other.

```
(let*  
  (  
    [a (+ 3 7)]  
    [b (+ a 1)]  
  )  
  (* a b)  
)
```

The diagram illustrates the sequential evaluation of local variables in a `let*` form. A red arrow points from the opening parenthesis of the `let*` block to the first binding `[a (+ 3 7)]`, where the variable `a` is enclosed in a red box. A second red arrow points from the `a` in the second binding `[b (+ a 1)]` to the `a` in the first binding, indicating that the value of `a` is used in the definition of `b`. A red box is also drawn around the `a` in the second binding. A red arrow points from the text **This gets the value set here.** to the boxed `a` in the second binding.

Scheme: Evaluation

Local Variables [3/4]

`let` (but not `let*`) takes an optional extra first argument: a symbol. This becomes the name of a procedure that calls the `let`. The local variables are not set to the values given; rather, they are parameters to the procedure.

It is common for the procedure name to be `loop`.

```
(let loop
  ([k 5])
  (begin
    (display k)
    (newline)
    (if (> k 1) (loop (- k 1)) (void))
  )
)
```

The value of `k` the first time through.

The value of `k` in the next iteration of the "loop".

void: procedure returning a void ("nothing") value.

`(void)` can be used where an expression is required, but there is nothing to do.

Scheme: Evaluation

Local Variables [4/4]

TO DO

- Write *filter* in Scheme, with each invocation of the procedure calling each of `car` and `cdr` at most once.
- Write a Scheme procedure that inputs a number and prints its square.
- Write a Scheme procedure that uses the `let-loop` construction.

Done. See `eval.scm`.

Useful

- `read-line`—procedure with no arguments; inputs a line of text from the console and returns it, without the newline
- `string->number`—procedure that takes a string; returns its numeric form, or `#f` if there is none

Recall that `#f` is the only falsy value. So we can test the success of `string->number` using `if` or `cond`. This is a common way to handle failure in Scheme.

Scheme: Evaluation Laziness [1/4]

Normally, evaluation in Scheme is eager.

However, we can do lazy evaluation in Scheme, using another of Scheme's types: *promise*. A **promise** is a wrapper around an expression that leaves the expression unevaluated—until the promise is *forced*.

When we **force** a promise, the expression is evaluated, and the resulting value is stored in the promise and returned.

Scheme: Evaluation

Laziness [2/4]

Create a promise using the macro `delay`.

```
> (define pp (delay (* 20 5)))
```

The type-checking predicate for promises is `promise?`.

```
> (promise? pp)
```

```
#t
```

Force a promise using the procedure `force`.

```
> (force pp)
```

```
100
```

Scheme: Evaluation Laziness [3/4]

Force a promise as many times as you like; evaluation only happens the first time. The same value is returned each time.

```
> (define p (delay (begin (display "Eval!\n") (* 7 6))))
```

```
> (force p)
```

```
Eval!
```

```
42
```

```
> (force p)
```

```
42
```

```
> (force p)
```

```
42
```

Scheme: Evaluation

Laziness [4/4]

Forcing something that is not a promise will just return it—after evaluating, because `force` is a procedure.

```
> (force (+ 2 3))  
5
```

Using promises, we can create the kind of lazy infinite lists we saw in Haskell (but less conveniently): construct a list as usual, from pairs and null, except that a pair's `car` and `cdr`, instead of being an item and a list, are *promises* wrapping an item and a list.

TO DO

- Write code to create a lazy infinite list.
- Write code to return part of a lazy list—like Haskell's `take`. Make this work with both lazy and normal lists.

Done. See `eval.scm`.