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Unit Overview
Recursion & Searching

Major Topics
✓ • Introduction to Recursion
✓ • Search Algorithms
✓ • Recursion vs. Iteration
✓ • Eliminating Recursion
✓ • Recursive Search with Backtracking
Unit Overview
Algorithmic Efficiency & Sorting

We now begin a unit on algorithmic efficiency & sorting algorithms. Major Topics

- Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms
- Introduction to Sorting
- Comparison Sorts I
- More on Big-O
- The Limits of Sorting
- Divide-and-Conquer
- Comparison Sorts II
- Comparison Sorts III
- Radix Sort
- Sorting in the C++ STL

We will (partly) follow the text.
- Efficiency and sorting are in Chapter 9.

After this unit will be the in-class Midterm Exam.
What do we mean by an “efficient” algorithm?

- We mean an algorithm that uses few resources.
- By far the most important resource is time.
- Thus, when we say an algorithm is efficient, assuming we do not qualify this further, we mean that it can be executed quickly.

How do we determine whether an algorithm is efficient?

- Implement it, and run the result on some computer?
- But the speed of computers is not fixed.
- And there are differences in compilers, etc.

Is there some way to measure efficiency that does not depend on the system chosen or the current state of technology?
Is there some way to measure efficiency that does not depend on the system chosen or the current state of technology?

- Yes!

**Rough Idea**

- Divide the tasks an algorithm performs into “steps”.
- Determine the maximum number of steps required for input of a given size. Write this as a formula, based on the size of the input.
- Look at the most important part of the formula.
  - For example, the most important part of “$6n \log n + 1720n + 3n^2 + 14325$” is “$n^2$”.

Next we look at this in more detail.
When we talk about **efficiency** of an algorithm, without further qualification of what “efficiency” means, we are interested in:

- **Time** Used by the Algorithm
  - Expressed in terms of number of steps,
- How the **Size** of the Input Affects Running Time
  - Larger input typically means slower running time. How much slower?
- **Worst-Case** Behavior
  - What is the maximum number of steps the algorithm ever requires for a given input size?

To make the above ideas precise, we need to say:

- What is meant by a **step**.
- How we measure the **size** of the input.

These two are part of our **model of computation**.
The **model of computation** used *in this class* will include the following definitions.

- The following operations will be considered a single *step*:
  - Built-in operations on fundamental types (arithmetic, assignment, comparison, logical, bitwise, pointer, array look-up, etc.).
  - Calls to client-provided functions (including operators). In particular, in a template, operations (i.e., function calls) on template-parameter types.
- From now on, when we discuss efficiency, we will always consider a function that is given a list of items. The *size* of the input will be the number of items in the list.
  - The “list” could be an array, a range specified using iterators, etc.
  - We will generally denote the size of the input by “$n$”.

**Notes**

- As we will see later, we can afford to be *somewhat* imprecise about what constitutes a single “step”.
- In a formal mathematical analysis of the properties and limits of computation, both of the above definitions would need to change.
Algorithm $A$ is order $f(n)$ [written $O(f(n))$] if

- There exist constants $k$ and $n_0$ such that
- $A$ requires no more than $k \times f(n)$ time units to solve a problem of size $n \geq n_0$.

We are usually not interested in the exact values of $k$ and $n_0$. Thus:

- We don’t worry much about whether some algorithm is (say) five times faster than another.
- We ignore small problem sizes.

Big-$O$ is important!

- We will probably use it every day for the rest of the semester (the concept, not the above definition).
Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms
Order & Big-O Notation — Worst Case & Average Case

When we use big-O, unless we say otherwise, we are always referring to the **worst-case** behavior of an algorithm.

- For input of a given size, what is the **maximum** number of steps the algorithm requires?

We can also do average-case analysis. However, we need to say so. We also need to indicate what kind of average we mean. For example:

- We can determine the average number of steps required over all inputs of a given size.
- We can determine the average number of steps required over repeated applications of the same algorithm.
Determine the order of the following, and express it using “big-O”:

```c
int func1(int p[], int n) // n is length of array p
{
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        sum += p[i];
    return sum;
}
```

See the next slide.
I count 9 single-step operations in func1. Strictly speaking, it is correct to say that func1 is $O(4n+6)$. In practice, however, we always place a function into one of a few well-known categories.

**ANSWER:** Function func1 is $O(n)$.

- This works with (for example) $k = 5$ and $n_0 = 100$.
- That is, $4n + 6 \leq 5 \times n$, whenever $n \geq 100$.

What if we count “sum += p[i]” as one step? What if we count the loop as one?

- Moral: collapsing a constant number of steps into one step does not affect the order.
- This is why I said we can be somewhat imprecise about what a “step” is.
Why are we so interested in the running time of an algorithm for very large problem sizes?

- Small problems are easy and fast.
- We expect more of faster computers. Thus, problem sizes keep getting bigger.
- As we saw with search algorithms, the advantages of a fast algorithm become more important at very large problem sizes.

Recall:

- “The fundamental law of computer science: As machines become more powerful, the efficiency of algorithms grows more important, not less.” — Nick Trefethen

An algorithm (or function or technique ...) that works well when used with large problems & large systems is said to be scalable.

- Or, it scales well.
- This class is all about things that scale well.
**Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms**  
**Order & Big-O Notation — Efficiency Categories**

---

An $O(1)$ algorithm is **constant time**.  
- The running time of such an algorithm is essentially independent of the input.  
- Such algorithms are rare, since they cannot even read all of their input.

An $O(\log_b n)$ [for some $b$] algorithm is **logarithmic time**.  
- Again, such algorithms cannot read all of their input.  
- As we will see, we do not care what $b$ is.

An $O(n)$ algorithm is **linear time**.  
- Such algorithms are not rare.  
- This is as fast as an algorithm can be and still read all of its input.

An $O(n \log_b n)$ [for some $b$] algorithm is **log-linear time**.  
- This is about as slow as an algorithm can be and still be truly useful (scalable).

An $O(n^2)$ algorithm is **quadratic time**.  
- These are usually too slow for anything but very small data sets.

An $O(b^n)$ [for some $b$] algorithm is **exponential time**.  
- These algorithms are much too slow to be useful.

---

**Notes**

- Gaps between these categories are not bridged by compiler optimization.
- We are interested in the **fastest category** above that an algorithm fits in.  
  - Every $O(1)$ algorithm is also $O(n^2)$ and $O(237^n + 184)$; but “$O(1)$” interests us most.
- **I will also allow** $O(n^3)$, $O(n^4)$, etc. However, we will not see these much.
Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms
Order & Big-O Notation — Example 2, Problem

Determine the order of the following, and express it with “big-O”:

```c
int func2(int p[], int n) // n is length of array p
{
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j)
            sum += p[j];
    return sum;
}
```

See the next slide.
In Example 2:

- There is a loop within a loop. The body of the inside \((j)\) loop looks like this:

```
for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j)
  sum += p[j];
```

- A single execution of this inside loop requires \(3n+2\) steps.
  - If we treat “\(\text{sum} += p[j];\)” as a single step.
- However, the loop itself is executed \(n\) times by the outside \((i)\) loop. Thus a total of \(n \times (3n+2) = 3n^2+2n\) steps are required.
- The rest of the function takes \(2n+6\) steps, for a total of \((3n^2+2n) + (2n+6) = 3n^2+4n+6\).
- Again, strictly speaking, it would be correct to say that \(\text{func2}\) is \(O(3n^2+4n+6)\), but that is not how we do things.
- Instead, we note that, for large \(n\), \(3n^2+4n+6 \leq 4n^2\). Thus, \(\text{func2}\) is \(O(n^2)\): quadratic time.
Determine the order of the following, and express it using “big-O”:

```c
int func3(int p[], int n) // n is length of array p
{
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j)
            sum += p[j];
    return sum;
}
```

See the next slide.
In Example 3:

- The number of steps taken by the \( j \) loop is \( 4i+2 \).
- So the total number of steps used by the \( j \) loop as \( i \) goes from 0 to \( n-1 \) is
  \[ 2 + 6 + 10 + \ldots + 4(n-1)+2. \]
- We summed this in class:
  \[ [2+4(n-1)+2] \times n \div 2 = 2n^2. \]
- The total number of steps for the function as a whole is
  \[ 2n^2 + 2n + 6. \]
- Thus the function is \( O(n^2) \): quadratic time.
Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms
Order & Big-O Notation — Rule of Thumb & Example 4

When computing the number of steps used by nested loops:

- For nested loops, each of which is either
  - executed $n$ times, or
  - executed $i$ times, where $i$ goes up to $n$.
    - Or up to $n$ plus some constant.
- The order is $O(n^t)$ where $t$ is the number of loops.

Example 4

```c
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j)
        for (int k = j; k < i+4; ++k)
            ++arr[j][k];
```

- By the above rule of thumb, this has order $O(n^3)$. 
Example 5

```c
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < i; ++j)
        for (int k = 0; k < 5; ++k)
            ++arr[j][k];
```

- The $k$ loop uses a **constant** number of operations.
- By the Rule of Thumb, this has order $O(n^2)$. 

Notice!
Introduction to Sorting
The Basics — What is Sorting?

To **sort** a collection of data is to place it in order.

![Original unsorted data](image1)

![Sorted data](image2)

Sometimes the items we sort are themselves collections of data. The part we sort by is the **key**.

![Keys and other data](image3)

Efficient sorting is of great interest.

- Sorting is a very common operation.
- Sorting code that is written with little thought/knowledge is often **much** less efficient than code using a good algorithm.
- Some algorithms (like Binary Search) require sorted data. The efficiency of sorting affects the desirability of such algorithms.
Introduction to Sorting
TO BE CONTINUED ...

*Introduction to Sorting* will be continued next time.