Unit Overview
Handling Data & Sequences

Major Topics
✓ ▪ Data abstraction
✓ ▪ Introduction to Sequences
✓ ▪ Smart arrays
   ✓ ▪ Array interface
   ✓ ▪ Basic array implementation
   ✓ ▪ Exception safety
   ✓ ▪ Allocation & efficiency
   ✓ ▪ Generic containers
✓ ▪ Linked Lists
   ✓ ▪ Node-based structures
   ✓ ▪ More on Linked Lists
▪ Sequences in the C++ STL
▪ Stacks
▪ Queues
An operation is **amortized constant time** if \( k \) operations require \( O(k) \) time.

- Thus, over *many consecutive operations*, the operation averages constant time.
- Not the same as constant-time average case (which averages over *all possible inputs*).
- Quintessential amortized-constant-time operation: insert-at-end for a well written smart array.
- Amortized constant time is not something we can easily compare with (say) logarithmic time.
A function that allows exceptions thrown by a client’s code to propagate unchanged, is said to be **exception-neutral**.

When exception-neutral code calls a client-provided function that may throw, it does one of two things:

- Call the function outside a try block, so that any exceptions terminate our code immediately.
- Or, call the function inside a try block, then catch all exceptions, do any necessary clean-up, and re-throw.
We can use catch-all, clean-up, re-throw to get both exception safety and exception neutrality.

```
arr = new MyType[size];
try {
    std::copy(a, a+size, arr);
}
catch (...) {
    delete [] arr;
    throw;
}
```

- Called outside any `try` block. If this fails, we exit immediately, throwing an exception.
- Called inside a `try` block. If this fails, we need to deallocate the array before exiting.

This helps us meet the Basic Guarantee (also the Strong Guarantee if this function does nothing else).

This makes our code exception-neutral.
Our first node-based data structure is a (Singly) Linked List.

- A Linked List is composed of nodes. Each has a single data item and a pointer to the next node.

- These pointers are the only way to find the next data item. Once we have found a position within a Linked List, we can insert and delete in constant time.
With Linked Lists, we can do a fast **splice**: 

![Diagram showing before and after splice](image)

**Note:** If we allow for efficient splicing, then we cannot efficiently keep track of a Linked List’s size.
With Linked Lists, iterators, pointers, and references to items will always stay valid and never change what they refer to, as long as the Linked List exists — unless we remove or change the item ourselves.
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*For Singly Linked Lists, we mean inserting or removing just after the given position.
- Doubly Linked Lists can help.
**$O(n)$ if reallocation occurs.
- Otherwise, $O(1)$. Amortized constant time.
- Pre-allocation can help.
***For $O(1)$, need a pointer to the end of the list. Otherwise, $O(n)$.
- This is tricky.
- And, for remove @ end, it is basically impossible.
- Doubly Linked Lists can help.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Smart Array</th>
<th>Linked List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look-up by index</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search sorted</td>
<td>$O(\log n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search unsorted</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sort</td>
<td>$O(n \log n)$</td>
<td>$O(n \log n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ given pos</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ given pos</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splice</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ beginning</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ beginning</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ end</td>
<td>$O(1)$ or $O(n)^{**}$ amortized const</td>
<td>$O(1)$ or $O(n)^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ end</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$ or $O(n)^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swap</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Find** faster with an array  
**Rearrange** faster with a Linked List
Arrays keep consecutive items in **nearby memory locations**. Many algorithms have the property that when they access a data item, the following accesses are likely to be to the same or nearby items.

- This property of an algorithm is called **locality of reference**.

Once a memory location is accessed, the CPU cache can **prefetch** nearby memory locations. With an array, these are likely to hold nearby data items.

Thus, because of cache prefetching, an array can have a significant speed advantage over a Linked List, when used with an algorithm that has good locality of reference.
In a **Doubly Linked List**, each node has a data item & **two pointers**:

- A pointer to the next node.
- A pointer to the previous node.

Doubly Linked Lists often have an end-of-list pointer.
- This can be efficiently maintained, resulting in constant-time insert and remove at the end.
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With Doubly Linked Lists, we can get rid of most of our asterisks.

*O(n) if reallocation occurs. Otherwise, O(1). Amortized constant time.
  ▪ Pre-allocation can help.

Find faster with an array
Rearrange faster with a Linked List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Smart Array</th>
<th>Doubly Linked List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look-up by index</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search sorted</td>
<td>O(log n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search unsorted</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sort</td>
<td>O(n log n)</td>
<td>O(n log n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ given pos</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ given pos</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splice</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ beginning</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ beginning</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ end</td>
<td>O(1) or O(n)* amortized const</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ end</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swap</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>O(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Two approaches to implementing a Linked List:
- A Linked List package to be used by others.
- An internal-use Linked List: part of some other package, and not exposed to client code.

How would these be different?
- In particular, what classes might we define in each case?
  - In the first case, many classes: container, node, iterator.
  - In the second case, a node class, but possibly nothing else.
Sequences in the C++ STL
Generic Sequence Types — Introduction

The C++ STL has four generic Sequence container types.

- Class template `std::vector`.
  - A "smart array".
  - Much like the Assignment 5 package, but with more member functions.

- Class template `std::basic_string`.
  - Much like `std::vector`, but aimed at character string operations.
  - Mostly we use `std::string`, which is really `std::basic_string<char>`.
  - Also `std::wstring`, which is really `std::basic_string<std::wchar_t>`.

- Class template `std::list`.
  - A Doubly Linked List.
    - Note: The Standard does not specify implementation. It specifies the semantics and order of operations. These were written with a Doubly Linked List in mind, and a D.L.L. is the usual implementation.

- Class template `std::deque`.
  - Deque stands for Double-Ended QUEue.
  - Say "deck".
  - Like `std::vector`, but a bit slower. Allows fast insert/remove at both beginning and end.
Sequences in the C++ STL
Generic Sequence Types — `std::deque` [1/4]

We are familiar with smart arrays and Linked Lists. How is `std::deque` implemented?

- There are two big ideas behind it.

**First Idea**

- A `vector` uses an array in which data are stored at the beginning.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5
```

- This gives linear-time insert/remove at beginning, constant-time remove at end, and, if we do it right, amortized-constant-time insert at end.

- What if we store data in the middle? When we reallocate-and-copy, we move our data to the middle of the new array.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5
```

- Result: Amortized $O(1)$ insert, and $O(1)$ remove, at both ends.
Second Idea

- Doing reallocate-and-copy for a `vector` requires calling either the copy constructor or copy assignment for every data item.
  - For large, complex data items, this can be time-consuming.
- Instead, let our array be an **array of pointers to arrays**, so that reallocate-and-copy only needs to move the pointers.
  - This still lets us keep most of the locality-of-reference advantages of an array, when the data items are small.
An implementation of `std::deque` typically uses both of these ideas.
- It probably uses an array of pointers to arrays.
  - This might go deeper (array of pointers to arrays of pointers to arrays).
- The arrays may not be filled all the way to the beginning or the end.
- Reallocate-and-copy moves the data to the middle of the new array of pointers.

Thus, `std::deque` is an array-ish container, optimized for:
- Insert/remove at either end.
- Possibly large, difficult-to-copy data items.
The cost is complexity, and a slower [but still $O(1)$] look-up by index.
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Generic Sequence Types — std::deque [4/4]

Essentially, `std::deque` is an array.
- Iterators are random-access.
- But it has some complexity to it, so it is a slow-ish array.

Like `vector`, `deque` tends to keep consecutive items in nearby memory locations.
- So it tends to avoid cache misses, when used with algorithms having good locality of reference.

However:
- Insertions at the beginning do not require items to be moved up.
  - We speed up insert-at-beginning by allocating extra space before existing data.
- Reallocate-and-copy leaves the data items alone.
  - We also speeds up insertion by trading value-type operations for pointer operations.
  - Pointer operations can be much faster than value-type operations. A `std::deque` can do reallocate-and-copy using a raw memory copy, with no value-type copy ctor calls.

The Bottom Line
- A `std::deque` is generally a good choice when you need fast insert/remove at both ends of a Sequence.
- Especially if you also want fast-ish look-up.
- Some people also recommend `std::deque` whenever you will be doing a lot of resizing, but do not need fast insert/remove in the middle.
We measure efficiency by counting steps. How do we count steps for a generic container type?

- We count both built-in operations and value-type operations.
- However, we typically expect that the most time-consuming operations are those on the value type.

The C++ Standard, on the other hand, counts only value-type operations.

- For example, “constant time” in the Standard means that at most a constant number of value-type operations are performed.
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Generic Sequence Types — Efficiency [2/2]

*Only a constant number of value-type operations are required.
  - The C++ standard counts only value-type operations. Thus, it says that insert at the beginning or end of a `std::deque` is constant time.

**Constant time if sufficient memory has already been allocated.
All have $O(n)$ traverse, copy, and search-unsorted, $O(1)$ swap, and $O(n \log n)$ sort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>vector, basic_string</th>
<th>deque</th>
<th>list</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look-up by index</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search sorted</td>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ given pos</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ given pos</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ beginning</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Linear/Amortized Constant*</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ beginning</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert @ end</td>
<td>Linear/Amortized Constant**</td>
<td>Linear/Amortized Constant*</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove @ end</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Generic Sequence Types — Common Features

All STL Sequence containers have:
- **iterator, const_iterator**
  - Iterator types. The latter acts like a pointer-to-const.
- **vector, basic_string, deque** have random-access iterators.
- **list** has bidirectional iterators.
- **iterator begin(), iterator end()**
- **iterator insert(iterator, item)**
  - Insert before. Returns position of new item.
- **iterator erase(iterator)**
  - Remove this item. Returns position of following item.
- **push_back(item)**
  - Insert at the end.
- **clear()**
  - Remove all items.
- **resize(newSize)**
  - Change the size of the container.
  - Not the same as **vector::reserve**, which sets capacity.

In Addition

**vector, deque, list** have:
- **pop_back()**
  - Remove at the end.
- **reference front(), reference back()**
  - Return reference to first, last item.

**deque, list** have:
- **push_front(item), pop_front()**
  - Insert & remove at the beginning.

**vector, basic_string, deque** have:
- **reference operator[](index)**
  - Look-up by index.

**vector** has:
- **reserve(newCapacity)**
  - Sets capacity to at least the given value.

And there are other members ...
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Iterator Validity — The Idea

One of the trickier parts of using container types is making sure you do not use an iterator that has become “invalid”.
- Generally, valid iterators are those that can be dereferenced.
- We also call things like `container.end()` valid.
  - These are “past-the-end” iterators.

Consider the smart-array class from Assignment 5. When is one of its iterators invalidated?
- When reallocate-and-copy occurs.
- When the container is destroyed.
- When the container is resized so that the iterator is more than one past the end.

Now consider a (reasonable) Linked-List class with iterators. When are such iterators invalidated?
- Only when the item referenced is erased.
  - This includes container destruction.
Sequences in the C++ STL
Iterator Validity — Rules

We see that different container types have different iterator-validity rules.

- When using a container, it is important to know the associated rules.

A related topic is reference validity.

- Items in a container can be referred to via iterators, but also via pointers and references.
- Reference-validity rules indicate when pointers and references remain usable.
- Often these are the same as the iterator-validity rules, but not always.
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Iterator Validity — std::vector

For std::vector

- Reallocate-and-copy invalidates all iterators and references.
- When there is no reallocation, the Standards says that insertion and erasure invalidate all iterators and references except those before the insertion/erasure.
  - Apparently, the Standard counts an iterator as invalidated if the item it points to changes.

A vector can be forced to pre-allocate memory using std::vector::reserve.

- The amount of pre-allocated memory is the vector’s capacity.
- We have noted that pre-allocation makes insert-at-end a constant-time operation. Now we have another reason to do pre-allocation: preserving iterator and reference validity.
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Iterator Validity — `std::deque`

For `std::deque`

- Insertion in the **middle** invalidates all iterators and references.
- Insertion at either **end** invalidates all iterators, but no **references**.
  - Why?
- Erasure in the middle invalidates all iterators and references.
- Erasure at the either end invalidates only iterators and references to items erased.

So deques have some validity advantages over vectors.
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Iterator Validity — `std::list`

For `std::list`

- An iterator or reference always remains valid until the item it points to goes away.
  - When the item is erased.
  - When the list is destroyed.

In some situations, these validity rules can be a big advantage of `std::list`. 
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Iterator Validity — Example

```cpp
// v is a variable of type vector<int>
// Insert a 1 before each 2 in vector v:
for (vector<int>::iterator iter = v.begin();
    iter != v.end(); ++iter)
{
    if (*iter == 2)
        v.insert(iter, 1);
}
```

What is wrong with the above code?

- The `insert` call invalidates iterator `iter`.
- Even if `iter` stays valid, after an insertion, it points to the 1 inserted. After being incremented, it points to the 2 again. Infinite loop.

How can we fix it?
This completes our discussion of Sequences in full generality. Next, we look at two restricted versions of Sequences, that is, ADTs that are much like Sequence, but with fewer operations:

- Stack.
  - Covered in chapter 6 in the text.
- Queue.
  - Covered in Chapter 7 in the text.

For each of these, we look at:

- What it is.
- Implementation.
- Availability in the C++ STL.
- Applications.