## Assignment #10

DUE Wednesday 5 December, 2007

Note: "HANDOUT" refers to the copied pages 84–99 of Chapter 4 of Brown and Churchill, *Fourier Series and Boundary Value Problems*. The exercises are on pages 95–97 of the HANDOUT.

"Dirichlet's Theorem", as I refer to it in class and below, is the Corollary on page 92. Note that you will use it in answering exercises 3, 5, and 6(a) in the HANDOUT.

**Exercise 1**. Exercise **3** on HANDOUT. The Fourier series in question is

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\sin x - \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2nx}{4n^2 - 1}$$

But, and this is important to understanding the question, you do not need to *know* the Fourier series to answer this question! (That is, you do not need to know the coefficients in the Fourier series.)

- **Exercise 2**. Exercise **5** on HANDOUT.
- **Exercise 3**. Exercise 6(a) on HANDOUT.
- **Exercise 4**. Exercise **9** on HANDOUT.
- **Exercise 5**. Exercise **10** on HANDOUT.

**Exercise 6**. Let's remember why we are interested in Fourier series. Consider this heat in a rod problem, with a rod of length  $\pi$ :

PDE	$u_t = u_{xx},$
BCs	$u_x(0,t) = 0,$
	$u_x(\pi,t) = 0,$
IC	$u(x,0) = \phi(x),$

(a) Apply separation of variables in the usual way. As a result, you will write a formula for the solution u(x, t) as a Fourier cosine series, with integral formulas for the coefficients. (*There is no reason to go into all the gory details here. We have seen them before many* 

times. But write down enough to remind yourself, and to demonstrate to me, how the story goes!)

(b) Now assume

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & 0 \le x < \pi/2, \\ 0, & x = \pi/2, \\ 1, & \pi/2 < x \le \pi. \end{cases}$$

Find the Fourier cosine series for u(x, t) and for u(x, 0) in particular. Sketch plots of the t = 0, small t, large t, and steady state cases.

(c) Apply Dirichlet's Theorem to the even periodic extension of  $\phi(x)$  to show that your solution u(x, t) actually converges to  $\phi(x)$  when t = 0.

(d) On the other hand, in what ways do partial sums of the Fourier cosine series for  $\phi(x) = u(x, 0)$  look different from  $\phi(x)$ ? Explain why the partial sums of the series for u(x, t), for small t > 0, are excellent approximations of  $\phi(x)$ .

**Exercise 7.** In this problem, assume f(x) is defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , is an *even* function, and is *differentiable* with a continuous derivative.

(a) I showed in class that because f(x) is even it has a cosine series (using "Fourier's choice" for an orthogonal set):

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx.$$

Show that the coefficients  $a_n$  can be found by integrating over half the interval:

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \cos nx \, dx.$$

(b) Argue that f'(x) is odd. (You can, and probably should, do this without reference to Fourier series ideas. Imagine yourself as a calculus student again ...)

(c) Do integration by parts on the integral

$$\int_0^\pi f(x)\cos nx\,dx$$

to find a formula for the coefficients  $a_n$  by integrating a product of f'(x) and something.

(d) Argue that if f'(x) has a convergent Fourier sine series then the Fourier cosine series for f(x) converges faster than the Fourier series for general f(x). (*This is an instance of the general rule that if a function is more "regular", meaning it is smooth because you can differentiate it, then its Fourier series is better behaved.*)