Solutions to Exam on DGC&AT

1.

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla \cdot \left( \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{\hat{i}} - \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{\hat{j}} + \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right) \mathbf{\hat{k}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2 F_z}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 F_y}{\partial z \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 F_z}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 F_x}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 F_y}{\partial z \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 F_x}{\partial y \partial z} = 0$$

assuming mixed partial derivatives commute.

2. The easiest way is to convert to cylindrical coordinates first:

$$\mathbf{F} = (x^2 + y^2)^{-3} (x\,\mathbf{\hat{i}} + y\,\mathbf{\hat{j}}) = (r^2)^{-3} (r\,\mathbf{\hat{r}}) = r^{-5}\,\mathbf{\hat{r}}.$$

Here r and  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  are the cylindrical coordinates formulas.

Then, using the standard formula,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r(r^{-5}) \right) = r^{-1} (-4) r^{-5} = -\frac{4}{r^6}.$$

In cartesian coordinates,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = -4(x^2 + y^2)^{-3}$ ; one can also get this formula by differentiating using the cartesian formula for the divergence.

**3 (a).** Because  $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$ , and because this fact is true on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , a simply-connected region, we conclude that  $\mathbf{F}$  is path-independent. In particular, a  $\Phi$  can be defined so that  $\nabla \Phi = \mathbf{F}$ . A formula for such a  $\Phi$  is

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \int_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}^{(x, y, z)} \mathbf{F} \cdot \, \hat{\mathbf{t}} \, ds.$$

This formula is only interesting because it reminds us that we need path independence for this to be a valid definition.

But we also know  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$ . Thus

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0.$$

**Extra Credit** [3 (b)]. This is genuinely extra credit in that we have not yet addressed how to do this. But what to do is clear: find a scalar function  $\Phi$  defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the property

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z^2} = 0,$$

that is, Laplace's equation  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$ . Furthermore we want  $\Phi$  to *not* just be constant or linear, because we want  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \Phi$  to be non-constant. Here is such a  $\Phi$ :

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = x^2 - y^2.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{F} = 2x\,\mathbf{\hat{i}} - 2y\,\mathbf{\hat{j}}.$$

You may check that this is a curl-free and a divergence-free vector field.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

We will have various ways of producing such formulas in this semester and next semester. In Math 422 we will learn that the real and imaginary part of a nice function of one complex variable z = x + iy will satisfy Laplace's equation. And

$$x^2 - y^2 = \Re(z^2).$$

4. For a single charge at the origin, clearly the distribution of charge is spherically symmetric. Thus the electric field **E** is spherically symmetric (so it only depends on r) and points only in the radial direction:  $\mathbf{E} = E(r) \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ . Thus by Gauss' law, if S is a sphere of radius R centered at the origin,

$$\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} = \iint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS = \iint_S E(R) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \, dS = E(R) \iint_S dS = E(R) 4\pi R^2.$$

This holds for any R > 0. It follows that, as claimed by Coulomb,

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2}\,\mathbf{\hat{r}}.$$

5. The definition we want is that  $\nabla \times \mathbf{F}$  is a vector at each point p for which

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \lim_{\Delta S \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta S} \oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}} \, ds$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is any unit vector based at p and where C is a small closed curve in a plane through p with normal  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  enclosing a surface of area S, and where the orientation of C is such that  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  and C are right-hand oriented. (Note that explaining how C,  $\Delta S$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  are related is essential for this to be a definition.)

The picture is not shown here. See me if desired.

6. By the divergence theorem applied to  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{r} = x \,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + y \,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + z \,\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{3} \iint_{S} \mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS = \frac{1}{3} \iiint_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} \, dV = \frac{1}{3} \iiint_{V} (1+1+1) \, dV = \iiint_{V} \, dV = V$$

7. This would be a huge pain except for one fact:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = (2xy) + (-2xy) + 0 = 0$$

Thus  $\iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS = \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV = 0$  by the divergence theorem.

8. We know a certain fact for every sphere *centered at the origin*. On the other hand, the definition of the divergence of a vector field  $\mathbf{F}$  at a point p is the limit

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}\Big|_p = \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta V} \iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS.$$

The limit is taken over surfaces S around p enclosing volumes  $\Delta V$ .

Thus we know enough to compute the divergence at the origin:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}\Big|_{(0,0,0)} = \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta V} \iint_{S} \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, dS = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{1}{(4/3)\pi R^3} \, \pi R^3 = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Here "S" stands for the sphere of radius R centered at the origin. Recall that for such a sphere the volume is  $\Delta V = (4/3)\pi R^3$ .

Thus we know that the divergence at the origin is 3/4. We do not know the divergence elsewhere. We do not know that the divergence is constant.